The Clark County Department of Environmental Services has received an application for development review, as described below. Based on a review of the submitted application materials, the County expects to issue a Determination of Non-Significance (DNS) for the proposal allowed by state law and Clark County Code (CCC), Section 40.570.040(E) – Optional DNS Process. As lead agency, the county has determined that the requirements for environmental analysis, protection, and mitigation measures are adequately addressed in the development regulations and comprehensive plan adopted under chapter 36.70A RCW, and in other applicable local, state, or federal laws rules, as provided by RCW 43.21.240 and WAC 197-11-158. Our agency will not require any additional mitigation measures under SEPA. The proposal may include mitigation under applicable codes and the project review.

Comments received within the deadline will be considered in the review of the proposal. Your response to this notice may be your only opportunity to comment on the environmental impacts of this proposal. No additional comment period will be provided unless probable significant environmental impacts are identified during the review process, which would require additional study or special mitigation. The proposal may include mitigation under applicable codes and the project review process may incorporate or require mitigation measures regardless of whether an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is prepared.

Closing Date for Public Comments:

February 6, 2015

Information regarding this application can be obtained by contacting the staff contact person listed below, or visit the Public Service Center, Department of Environmental Services, 1300 Franklin Street, 1st Floor, STE 185; Vancouver, Washington.

Date of this Notice: January 22, 2015

Project Name: SEWER LINE EXTENSION


Location: At the intersection of 104th Street and NE 144th Avenue

Request: The applicant proposes to extend sewer lines to serve the subdivision under constructed on TL 80 (986031-655), disturb approximately 3.4 acres, stockpile 9,000 cubic yards of grading spoils on approximately 0.07 acres and implement utility work on approximately 2.7 acres. The approximately 40 acre property is zoned R1-5.
Applicant: The Holt Group  
PO Box 87970,  
Vancouver, WA 98687  
Phone: 360-892-0514

Contact Person: AKS Engineering & Forestry  
Attn.: John Meier, P.E.  
9600 NE 126th Ave. Suite 2520  
Vancouver, WA 98682  
360-882-0419

Property Owner: Norman & Laverne Simpkins  
34209 NE Lewisville Highway  
Yacolt, WA 98675

Comp Plan Designation: Urban Low (UL)

Legal Description  
Tax Lots 32 (200330-000), 79 (986031-653), located in the NW ¼ and the SE ¼ and the SW ¼ of Township 3 North, Range 2 East, of the Willamette Meridian

Staff Contact:  
Program Manager: Brent Davis  
Phone #: (360) 397-2121, ext. 4152  
E-mail: brent.davis@clark.wa.gov

Neighborhood Association/Contact:  
Greater Brush Prairie Neighborhood Association  
Rob Pearson, President  
158001 NE 180th Street  
Brush Prairie, WA 98606  
Phone #: 360-666-2756

Applicable Code Sections:  
Clark County Code Chapter: 40.220.010 (R1-5), 40.570 (SEPA), 14.07 (Grading), 40.450 (Wetland Protection), 40.385 (Stormwater and Erosion Control), 40.570 (SEPA), 40.500 (Overview of Procedures), 40.510 (Procedures)

Please email SEPA comments to: sabra.hall-nelson@clark.wa.gov

Responsible Official: Don Benton, Director, Public Service Center, Department of Environmental Services, 1300 Franklin Street, P.O. Box 9810, Vancouver, WA 98666-9810

Application Filing date: January 7, 2015
Fully Complete Date: January 16, 2015

SEPA Options:  
As lead agency under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) Rules [Chapter 197-11, Washington Administrative Code (WAC)], Clark County must determine if there are possible
significant adverse environmental impacts associated with this proposal. The options include the following:

- **DS = Determination of Significance** (The impacts cannot be mitigated through conditions of approval and, therefore, requiring the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS);
- **MDNS = Mitigated Determination of Non-Significance** (The impacts can be mitigated through conditions of approval); or,
- **DNS = Determination of Non-Significance** (The impacts can be addressed by applying the County Code).

**Timelines/Process:**
Decisions on Type II applications are made within 78 calendar days of the Fully Complete date (noted above), unless placed on hold for the submittal of additional information.

**Appeal Process:**
The responsible official’s decision on the application may be appealed to the County Hearings Examiner by the applicant or any person or group that qualifies as a “Part of Record.” To qualify as a party of record, you must have submitted written comments or a written request to be identified as a Party of Record within the comment deadline. Note: If an accurate mailing address for those submitting comment is not included, they will not qualify as a "Party of Record" and, therefore, will not have standing to appeal the decision. An appellant must submit an appeal application and appeal fee within 14 calendar days after the written notice of the decision is mailed.

**SEPA Appeals:**
A *procedural SEPA appeal* is an appeal of the determination (i.e., determination of significance, determination of non-significance, or mitigated determination of non-significance).

A *substantive SEPA appeal* is an appeal of the conditions required to mitigate for probable significant issues not adequately addressed by existing County Code or other law.

A *procedural or substantive appeal* must be filed within fourteen (14) calendar days of this determination, together with the appeal fee. Such appeals will be considered at a scheduled public hearing and decided by the Hearing Examiner in a subsequent written decision.

**Information Available on the County Web Page:**
- “Weekly Preliminary Plan Review Status Report,” (includes current applications, staff reports and final decisions):
- Pre-Application Conferences and Public Land Use Hearing Agendas:
- Applications and Information Handouts for each Type of Land Use Permit:

Phone: (360) 397-2121; Fax: (360) 397-2062

**Attachments:**
- Proposed project site/land division plan
- Map of property owners receiving notice
Agency Distribution:
This notice is being provided to the following agencies with jurisdiction whose services maybe impacted by implementation of this proposal:

Federal Agencies: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers - Enforcement Division

Tribes: Cowlitz Indian Tribe
        Yakama Nation
        Chinook Tribe

State Agencies: Department of Natural Resources (S.W. Region)
        Department of Ecology
        Department of Fish and Wildlife
        Department of Transportation

Regional Agencies: Fort Vancouver Regional Library
        Southwest Clean Air Agency
        Vancouver-Clark Parks & Recreation

Local Agencies: Clark County Department of Community Development
        Development Services
        Development Engineering
        Fire Marshal's Office
        Clark County Health Department
        Clark County Public Works Transportation Division
        Clark County Conservation District
        Clark County Water Resource Council
        City of Vancouver Transportation

Special Purpose Agencies: Fire Protection District #
        Clark Public Utilities
        Clark Regional Wastewater District/City of Vancouver Water/Sewer

The Media
        The Columbian
        The Oregonian
        The Reflector
        Vancouver Business Journal
        The Post Record

Other:
        Applicant
        Clark County Neighbors
        Clark County Natural Resources Council
        Clark County Citizens United
        C-Tran
        Neighborhood Association

**Add Attachment for Agencies:**
- SEPA checklist
SEPA Environmental Checklist
Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 197-11-960

Purpose of checklist:
The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), Revised Code of Washington (RCW), Chapter 43.21C, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and agencies identify impacts from your proposal and to help agencies decide whether or not an EIS is required.

Instructions for applicants:
This environmental checklist asks you to describe basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether or not the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. Please answer the questions briefly, giving the most precise information or best description known. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write “do not know” or “does not apply.”

Some questions pertain to governmental regulations such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. If you have problems answering these questions, please contact the Clark County Permit Center for assistance.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. You may be asked to explain your answers or provide additional information related to significant adverse impacts.

Use of checklist for non-project proposals:
Complete this checklist for non-project proposals (e.g., county plans and codes), even if the answer is “does not apply.” In addition, complete the supplemental sheet for non-project actions (Part D).

For non-project actions, the references in the checklist to the words “project,” “applicant,” and “property or site” should be read as “proposal,” “proposer,” and “affected geographic area,” respectively.
A. Background

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:
   Sewer Line Extension

2. Name of applicant:
   The Holt Group

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:
   Applicant: The Holt Group - PO BOX 87970 Vancouver, WA 98687   PH: 360-892-0514
   Contact: AKS Engineering & Forestry - John Meier, P.E. 9600 NE 126th Ave. Suite 2520
   Vancouver, WA 98682   PH: 360-882-0419

4. Date checklist prepared:
   01/05/15

5. Agency requesting checklist:
   Clark County

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):
   All work on this site (Parcels 986031-653 and 200330-000) has been completed.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to this
   proposal? If yes, explain.
   No

8. List any environmental information that has been or will be prepared related to this
   proposal.
   The applicant’s environmental consultant has prepared a wetland delineation and Restoration
   Plan for the project site.

9. Are other applications pending for governmental approvals affecting the property covered
    by your proposal? If yes, please explain.
    No

10. List any government approvals or permits needed for your proposal:
    SEPA Determination, Grading Permit, and NPDES Permit.

11. Give a brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and size of
    the project and site. There are several questions addressed later in this checklist asking you
    to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on
    this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information
    on project description.)
    This application extends sewer lines from the pump station located on Parcel 200320-000,
    through the subject site. Parcels 986031-653 & 200330-000 total area is approximately 40
    acres. The total disturbed area on site is approximately 3.4 acres, 0.7 acre for the stock pile
    and 2.7 acres for the utility work. The total stock pile volume is approximately 9,000 C.Y.
12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including street address, section, township, and range. If this proposal occurs over a wide area, please provide the range or boundaries of the site. Also, give a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map. You are required to submit any plans required by the agency, but not required to submit duplicate maps or plans submitted with permit applications related to this checklist. The subject site is located north of the intersection of NE 104th Street and NE 144th Avenue. W 1/2, S35, T3N, R2E. Parcel #’s 986031-653 and 200330-000.

B. Environmental Elements

1. Earth
   a. General description of the site (circle one): **Flat**, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other ________________.

   b. What is the steepest slope on the site and the approximate percentage of the slope? 2% slope across the impacted portion of the subject site.

   c. What general types of soils are found on the site (e.g., clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? Please specify the classification of agricultural soils and note any prime farmlands. According to the USDA NRCS web soil survey, soils on site consist of Lauren gravelly loam (LgB), Dollar loam (DoB), Hillsboro silt loam (H1A), McBee silt loam, course variant (M1A).

   d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, please describe. None that we are aware of.

   e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or proposed grading. Also, indicate the source of fill. Install sewer lines and stock pile soil from the sewer lines being constructed. The total disturbed area on site is approximately 3.4 acres, 0.7 acre for the stock pile and 2.7 acres for the utility work. The total stock pile volume is approximately 9,000 C.Y.

   f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, please describe. Yes, erosion is possible during work, mainly in the form of silt transfer and dust blowoff. The soil has a slight to moderate erosion potential, but should not be directed to adjacent properties.

   g. What percentage of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after the project construction (e.g., asphalt or buildings)? No impervious surfaces are proposed with this application.
h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth include:
Installation of sediment fencing and other erosion management practices were installed prior to stockpiling soil on site. Stock pile will be covered at all times. Disturbed areas, including the sewer line and stock pile were re-seeded w/ grass upon project completion.

2. Air

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from this proposal (e.g., dust, automobile, odors, industrial wood smoke) during construction and after completion? Please describe and give approximate quantities.
During construction: dust and automobile exhaust; After construction: none.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, please describe.
No

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air:
If necessary during construction activities, the site will be sprinklered to combat dust. All construction equipment used on the site will meet appropriate Washington emissions standards.

3. Water

a. Surface:

1) Is there any surface water body on or in the vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, and wetlands)? If yes, describe the type and provide names and into which stream or river it flows into.
Yes, there are drainage ditches on parcel 986031-655, to the south and to the northeast. The ditches eventually flow into the China Ditch/Lacamas Creek Watershed. There are also 2 Cat. III wetlands on site. Wetland A is a Cat. III depressional forested wetland which may have originally been an agricultural ditch. Wetland B is a Cat. III depressional wetland. Refer to ELS's Wetland Delineation and Restoration Plan for additional information.

2) Will the project require any work within 200 feet of the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.
Yes, the edge of the stock pile is approximately 90’ from an existing ditch. The installed sewer line is within 200’ of a ditch in the northeast corner of the study area. Refer to the plan submitted with this application and ELS’s Wetland Delineation and Restoration Plan for additional information. There are temporary impacts to on-site wetlands and wetland buffers.
3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

9,723 SF of Category Wetland and 37,097 SF of Wetland Buffer have been temporarily impacted with the installation of the utilities. Refer to ELS's Wetland Delineation & Restoration Plan for additional information.

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Please provide description, purpose, and approximate quantities:
No

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, please note the location on the site plan.
No

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.
No

b. Ground:

1) Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Please give description, purpose, and approximate quantities.
No

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources; (e.g., domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals... ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the size and number of the systems, houses to be served; or, the number of animals or humans the systems are expected to serve.
No waste material will be discharged into the ground.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal. Include quantities, if known. Describe where water will flow, and if it will flow into other water.
All stormwater runoff will continue to sheet flow across native grass vegetation as it has historically.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, please describe.
No waste materials will enter ground or surface waters as part of this application.
d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any:
No additional specific water protection measures, other than those mentioned previously. Erosion control fencing has been installed around the stock pile and all disturbed areas have been re-seeded with grass. Upon completion, all disturbed areas will be established w/ grass.

4. Plants
a. Check or circle types of vegetation found on the site
   - Deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
   - Evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
   - Shrubs
   - Grass
   - Pasture
   - Crop or grain
   - Wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
   - Water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
   - Other types of vegetation Blackberries
Circled above represents vegetation on entire site, only pasture within disturbed area.

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?
3.4 acres of pasture on the subject parcels were disturbed with a stockpile and installation of sewer lines connecting the pump station on Parcel 200320-000 to the subdivision on Parcel 986031-655. This area was replanted with grass.

c. List threatened or endangered species on or near the site.
None known

d. List proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site:
This project is not required or proposing a landscape plan with this submittal. All disturbed areas were replanted with pasture grass and native grass in the wetlands upon project completion.

5. Animals
a. Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site: (Known to exist in vicinity)
   - Birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other;
   - Mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other; and, rodents, rabbits,
   - Fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other.
b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.
None Known

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, please explain.
The site is not known to be part of any specific route, but the entire region is part of the Pacific Flyway.

d. List proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife:
None proposed.

6. Energy and natural resources

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project’s energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.
NA

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, please describe.
No

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts:
NA

7. Environmental health

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, please describe.

1) Describe special emergency services that might be required.
No special emergency service needs are anticipated.

2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:
As no impacts are anticipated, no such measures are proposed.

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (e.g., traffic, equipment, operation, other)?
None

2) What types and levels of noise are associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (e.g., traffic, construction,
operation, other)? Indicate what hours the noise would come from the site.

Short term: construction and traffic (construction hours); Long term: None.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts:
Site work will occur during daylight hours, as allowed by Clark County.

8. Land and shoreline use

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?
Properties to the north, east, west, and southwest are agriculture land, Parcel #’s 986031-655 and 200320-000, are currently being developed into subdivisions.

b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, please describe.
Yes, it was historically grazed by cattle and will continue to be used for agriculture.

c. Describe any structures on the site.
No structures on site.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, please describe.
NA

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?
R1-5

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?
UL

g. What is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?
NA

h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, please specify.
Yes, there are two wetlands on site. 9,723 SF of Category Wetland has been temporarily impacted and 37,097 SF of Wetland Buffer has been temporarily impacted. Refer to ELS’s Wetland Delineation & Restoration Plan for additional information.

i. How many people would reside or work in the completed project?
NA

j. How many people would the completed project displace?
NA

k. Please list proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts:
NA

l. List proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans:
The proposed project will go through Clark County’s Grading Permit Review, which will confirm that the project meets all applicable grading permit criteria.

9. Housing

a. Approximately how many units would be provided? Indicate whether it’s high, middle, or low-income housing.
None

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether it’s high, middle, or low-income housing.
NA

c. List proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts:
NA

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas? What is proposed as the principal exterior building materials?
NA

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?
No views will be obstructed

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts:
No additional measures outside of Clark County Municipal Code.

11. Light and glare

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?
None

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?
NA

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?
None known
d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts:
NA

12. Recreation

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?
None known

b. Would the project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, please describe.
No

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreational opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant:
No recreational opportunities are being removed or proposed.

13. Historic and cultural preservation

a. Are there any places or objects on or near the site which are listed or proposed for national, state, or local preservation registers. If so, please describe.
None known

b. Please describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.
None known

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts:
If any are found, follow the County's code.

14. Transportation

a. Identify the public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.
There is no existing street access to the site. A street will be stubbed to the site's southern property line with the construction for the subdivision on Parcel 986031-655.

b. Is the site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?
No, there are no transit stops within walking distance.

c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?
The project does not propose any parking spaces.
d. Will the proposal require new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, please describe and indicate whether it’s public or private.

No.

e. Will the project use water, rail, or air transportation? If so, please describe.

No.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? Indicate when peak traffic volumes would occur. The project will not generate any vehicular trips.

g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts:

NA

15. Public services

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (e.g., fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, please describe.

No.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services:

NA

16. Utilities

a. Circle the utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other.

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on or near the site:

None for the subject site, but CRWWD sanitary sewer mains are being ran through the site to provide service to the two subdivisions under construction on Parcel #’s 986031-655 and 200320-000.

C. Signature

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: [Signature] Date Submitted: 1-6-15
Property Fact Sheet for Account 200330000
January 07, 2015

General Information
Property Account 200330000
Site Address
Owner AMK INC
Mail Address PO BOX 87970
          VANCOUVER WA , 98687 US
Land Use UNUSED LAND TIMBERED.
Property Status Active
Tax Status Regular
1st Line Legal #32 OF SEC 35 T3NR2EWM 20.00AC
Area (approx.) 871,200 sq. ft. / 20 acres

Assessment (2014 Values for 2015 Taxes)
Land Value $286,208.00
Building Value $0.00
Total Property Value $286,208.00
Total Taxable Value $286,208.00

Most Recent Sale
Sale Date 07/11/2013
Document Type BLA
Sale Number 4996764
Sale Amount $0.00

Administrative
Zoning Designation Single Family Residential (R1-5)
Zoning Overlay(s) none
Comprehensive Plan Urban Low Density Residential
Comp. Plan Overlay(s) none
Census Tract 407.10
Jurisdiction Clark County
Fire District FD 5
Park District District 5
School District Elementary Battle Ground
            Glenwood Heights
            Laurin
            Prairie
Middle School
High School
Sewer District Clark Regional
Water District Clark Public Utilities
Neighborhood Greater Brush Prairie
Section-Township-Range NW 1/4, S35, T3N, R2E
Urban Growth Area Vancouver
C-Trans Benefit Area Yes
School Impact Fee Battle Ground U
Transportation Impact Fee Orchards UH
Transportation Analysis Zone 540
Waste Connections Wednesday
Garbage Collection Day
Last Street Sweeping n/a
CPU Lighting Utility District 0
Burning Allowed No
Wildland Urban Interface/Intermix No Mapping Indicators

Wetlands and Soil Types
Wetland Class No Mapping Indicators
Wetland Inventory No Mapping Indicators Presence
Flood Hazard Area Outside Flood Area
Shoreline Designation none
Soil Types / Class Non-Hydric / DoB
            Hydric / MIA
Critical Aquifer Recharge Area Category 2 Recharge Areas
FEMA Map / FIRM Panel 53011C0384D
                      53011C0383D
Watershed Lacamas Creek
Sub Watershed China Ditch

Geological Hazards
Slope Stability
Geological Hazard
NEHRP Class C
Liquefaction Very Low

Habitat and Cultural Resources
Priority Habitat No Mapping Indicators
Habitat Area Buffer
Species Area Buffer
Archaeological Probability Moderate-High
          High
Archaeological Site Buffer No
Historic Site No Mapping Indicators

Clark County does not warrant the accuracy, reliability or timeliness of any information in this report, and shall not be held liable for losses caused by using this information.